29th November 1928]

the University headquarters. The sanction of Government has only been given so far to the following contributions apart from some small contributions towards extension lectures organized by the University :—

		RS.
Guntur District Board	... ...	1 lakh,
Old Kistna District Board	... ...	3 lakhs,

while the actual payments hitherto made by each of these bodies are Rs. 40,000 and Rs. 50,000 respectively.

Mr. K. V. R. SWAMI :—"May I know whether the hon. the Minister would allow local bodies to make contributions to the universities ?"

The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN :—"I already said to the local bodies concerned who applied for this permission that unless they are in a position to say that they are rendering the necessary services that they have to under the Act for the benefit of the locality, the Government consider that it would not be possible for them to give sanction for such large amounts being given as donations to the university."

Religious and Charitable Endowments

Number of temples in Kurnool district for which schemes have been framed by the Hindu Religious Endowments Board.

*845 Q.—Mr. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO : Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to get the information and state—

(a) the number of temples in the district of Kurnool for which schemes have been framed by the Hindu Religious Endowments Board during the period 30th June 1927 to 30th June 1928 with the names of those temples ; and

(b) the number of temples which have been declared excepted temples in the Kurnool district during that period with the names of those temples ?

A.—(a) One—Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Peravali, Pattikonda taluk.

(b) Two—Sri Kanyakaparameswari temple, Biyyam bazaar, Kurnool town, and Sri Kanyakaparameswari temple, Minchin bazaar, Kurnool town.

Alleged demand for contribution from Medam Venkayya Garu, Kurnool, for the Sri Kanyakaparameswari temple in Minchin bazaar.

*846 Q.—Mr. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO : Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the Hindu Religious Endowments Board has demanded contribution under section 3 of Act II of 1927 from Medam Venkayya Garu of Kurnool for an alleged temple of Sri Kanyakaparameswari in the Minchin bazaar ;

(b) whether the Government have instituted any inquiry as to the contents of the alleged temple and their ownership ;

[29th November 1928

(c) whether the Government are aware that in the alleged temple there is neither mula vigraha or uthsava vigrahas nor a dhwajasthamba; and

(d) whether the Government are aware that it is not a place of worship resorted to by all the Hindus or any section of them?

A.—(a) No; but a contribution is payable under section 69.

(b) No.

(c) The Government understand that there is a mula vigraham of Sri Kanyakaparameswari but no dhwajasthambam. It is not known whether there is an uthsava vigraham.

(d) No. After due inquiry, the Hindu Religious Endowments Board is satisfied that the shrine in question is a place of worship open to a section of the Hindu public and falling within the definition of 'temple' in the Hindu Religious Endowments Act.

Mr. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO :—"May I know, Sir, from the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government whether the mula vigraham spoken of here is movable or immovable?"

The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN :—"Notice, Sir."

Contribution to the Hindu Religious Endowments Board by Sri Lakshmi Chermakeswaraswami temple of Markapur.

*847 Q.—Mr. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO: Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether contribution has been levied by the Hindu Religious Endowments Board from the income of Sri Lakshmi Chermakeswaraswami temple of Markapur in the Kurnool district;

(b) whether it is a fact that the said temple has no other income except tasdik allowance made by the Government from beriz deductions; and

(c) if answers to (a) and (b) be in the positive, whether he has any objection to exempt the said temple from the said contribution?

A.—(a) The temple referred to is presumably the Sri Lakshmi Chermakeswaraswami temple at Markapur. The Hindu Religious Endowments Board has levied a contribution from this temple.

(b) The Hindu Religious Endowments Board reports that the temple has other sources of income.

(c) In view of the answer to clause (b) above, the question of exemption does not arise.

Mr. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO :—"May I know, in regard to the answer to clause (b), what the other sources of income are that are mentioned there?"

The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN :—"Notice."

Town-planning

Preparation of a town-planning scheme for Mangalore.

*848 Q.—Mr. J. A. SALDANHA: Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether in each and every one of the various town-planning and town-improvement schemes sanctioned by the Government the schemes were